

The Immeasurable Cost of the Wrong Words: When ‘Noncompliance’ Hid the Real Story

Melissa O’Connor, MSN, RN, CNN, CMSRN, and Kelly McGee, ASN, RN

NCPD 1.2 ANCC contact hours/1.2 CEPTC

He was called noncompliant long before anyone asked whether the plan was even possible. He missed appointments. His diabetes remained uncontrolled. He continued drinking. He repeatedly asked for gas cards, food, and help. Staff grew frustrated. Some worried they were enabling him. Others questioned whether anything more could realistically be done.

But beneath the label was a man living in a camper with little food, no stable income, unreliable phone access, worsening health, and nowhere safe to store his insulin. The problem was not that nobody cared. The problem was that the word *noncompliant* began to function almost like the diagnosis itself.

In nephrology nursing, language matters because documentation often becomes the first introduction to a patient long before a conversation ever occurs. The electronic health record follows patients across specialties, clinics, emergency departments, dialysis units, hospitalizations, and care transitions. A single word can quietly shape perception before the next clinician enters the room.

Terms such as *noncompliant*, *difficult*, or *won’t help himself* may initially seem descriptive. In reality, these labels often oversimplify medically and socially complex situations. They can narrow clinical curiosity, influence interdisciplinary attitudes, and unintentionally reduce the likelihood that underlying barriers will be explored.

This article does not argue that nurses should ignore unsafe behaviors, repeated missed opportunities, sub-

Copyright 2026 American Nephrology Nurses Association

O’Connor, M., & McGee, K. (2026). The immeasurable cost of the wrong words: When ‘noncompliance’ hid the real story. *Nephrology Nursing Journal*, 53(3), 211-215. <https://doi.org/10.37526/1526-744X.2026.53.3.211>

The term *noncompliant* remains common in clinical documentation, yet it can transmit bias, narrow clinical thinking, and obscure barriers influencing patient outcomes. This article describes the de-identified experience of a medically complex rural patient with diabetes, kidney-related specialty needs, alcohol use disorder, food insecurity, unstable housing, transportation barriers, and pending disability income who was repeatedly viewed through the lens of noncompliance. Longitudinal nephrology nursing documentation revealed a more complicated story: barriers to care rather than unwillingness to engage. Rather than withdrawing support, the nephrology team persisted with practical, nonjudgmental interventions focused on identifying barriers and coordinating resources. After disability income was approved, the patient obtained stable housing, re-engaged with care, and returned gas cards to the clinic to help other patients in need. This case illustrates how language can change trajectories and become a clinical intervention in nursing practice.

Keywords:

Noncompliance, stigmatizing language, nephrology nursing, social determinants of health, patient-centered care.

Melissa O’Connor, MSN, RN, CNN, CMSRN, is Director, Nephrology and Infectious Disease, Major Health Partners, Shelbyville, IN.

Kelly McGee, ASN, RN, is a Chronic Kidney Disease Coordinator, Major Health Partners, Shelbyville, IN.

Instructions for ANCC Contact Hours/CEPTC

- 1) Read the article and complete the evaluation through the ANNA Online Library @ annanurse.org/library
- 2) Visit “My Account” to view/print certificate.
- 3) Deadline for submission 6/30/2028.

Fees: Member: \$15.00 | Non-Member: \$30.00 NNJ 2614

Learning Outcome

After completing this educational activity, the learner will be able to describe how barrier-focused clinical documentation can reduce bias and improve interdisciplinary care planning in patients with medically and socially complex kidney care.

Reflection Question

How might your clinical approach change if repeated missed appointments or medication gaps were viewed first through the lens of barriers rather than patient refusal?

stance use, or difficult interactions. Those realities are important and clinically relevant. Rather, this article explores a different question: What if the issue is not non-compliance, but barriers we have not yet fully identified? This de-identified rural kidney care case demonstrates how a medically complex patient initially viewed through a lens of frustration and noncompliance ultimately achieved meaningful progress when the care team continued identifying barriers rather than giving up on the patient.

Background

Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) often carry an extraordinary treatment burden. Frequent appointments, medication regimens, dietary restrictions, transportation needs, laboratory monitoring, and financial strain can quickly overwhelm individuals already struggling with housing instability, limited income, food insecurity, or mental health concerns.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, 2024) recognizes social determinants of health (SDOH) as major contributors to kidney disease progression and outcomes. Transportation instability, food insecurity, poverty, and inadequate access to care directly affect a patient's ability to follow treatment recommendations.

Yet health care documentation frequently reduces these realities to simplified behavioral labels.

A note stating that a patient is “noncompliant with insulin” communicates something very different than: “Patient currently lacks refrigeration due to unstable housing and is attempting to store insulin in a camper without reliable electricity.” One creates judgment. The other creates a path for intervention.

Research increasingly supports the impact language has on patient care. Goddu and colleagues (2018) demonstrated that stigmatizing language in medical records influenced clinician attitudes and treatment decisions. Park and colleagues (2021) found that negative language patterns in documentation often framed patients as difficult, irresponsible, or untrustworthy. Healy and colleagues (2022) further emphasized the importance of person-centered, bias-conscious communication in health care.

For nephrology nurses, changing documentation language is not simply a communication preference or documentation exercise. It is a clinical intervention.

Language influences trust, shapes interdisciplinary perception, affects care planning, and can either reinforce bias or uncover barriers. In that sense, language itself becomes a nursing intervention.

Case Description

The following case illustrates how language, bias, and barrier identification intersected in the care of one medically and socially complex rural patient.

The patient was an adult male living in a rural community with poorly controlled diabetes, kidney-related specialty needs, depression, tobacco use, alcohol use disorder, food insecurity, transportation limitations, and unstable housing. At various points throughout care, he lived in a camper or vehicle with minimal resources while awaiting disability determination. He also had companion animals. That detail became critically important.

Throughout documentation, the patient was repeatedly described as:

- “Noncompliant.”
- “Continuing alcohol abuse.”
- “Refusing assistance.”
- “Failing to follow through.”
- “Declining recommended resources.”

To be fair, the care team had legitimate reasons for frustration. Multiple disciplines invested extensive time and resources attempting to help him. Nurses coordinated food access, transportation assistance, disability appointments, community resources, housing referrals, and follow-up care. Community health workers repeatedly attempted outreach. Providers encouraged smoking cessation, alcohol treatment, follow-up appointments, and shelter placement.

Still, progress often felt painfully slow. The patient sometimes missed appointments. He occasionally became angry. At times, he was intoxicated during phone calls.

Staff expressed exhaustion, safety concerns, and uncertainty about whether the interventions were truly helping. One provider note reflected a sentiment many health care workers have likely felt but rarely admit publicly: “We have put hundreds of hours and resources into this patient.”

That statement was not cruel. It was honest. And honesty matters.

What makes this case powerful is not that the care team remained endlessly optimistic. It is that they continued anyway.

What the Label Missed

When the chart is reviewed longitudinally, a very different picture emerges. This patient was not simply failing to follow a care plan. He was attempting to survive.

Transportation Barriers

The patient frequently lacked gas money to:

- Attend appointments.
- Obtain laboratory testing.

Table 1
Examples of Barrier-Focused Documentation Language

Instead of:	Consider Documenting:
Noncompliant with medications	Patient has not taken prescribed medications consistently due to barriers identified, such as cost, food insecurity, transportation, refill access, and medication-storage concerns.
Refuses care	Patient declined the recommended option today; documented reasons include concern about pets, transportation, safety, finances, prior experience, or readiness.
Difficult patient	Interaction was challenging due to distress, intoxication, fear, pain, unmet needs, or competing survival priorities. Staff safety plan and follow-up approach documented.
Frequent no-show	Patient has inconsistent appointment attendance related to unreliable transportation and phone access.
Alcoholic/addict	Patient with alcohol use disorder or substance use disorder; document current use, readiness for treatment, harm-reduction counseling, and resources offered.
Won't help himself	Patient has not yet completed recommended next steps; document what step is needed, what assistance was offered, and what barrier remains unresolved.

- Access food pantries.
 - Travel to disability evaluations.
- Missed appointments often reflected transportation instability rather than disregard for care.

Food Insecurity

The patient repeatedly reported having little or no food. Care teams coordinated:

- Pantry deliveries.
- Meal vouchers.
- Emergency food bags.
- Church resources.
- Local community assistance.

In several instances, staff personally coordinated groceries for him.

Medication Storage Problems

The patient required refrigerated medications but lacked reliable electricity. The team explored:

- Mini refrigerators.
- Coolers.
- Ice packs.
- Foundation assistance.

What had previously appeared as medication noncompliance was, in part, a medication storage problem.

Housing Instability

The patient declined some shelter options because they required separation from his dogs. Initially, this was occasionally documented as refusal of help. But the more the team learned about his circumstances, the clearer it became that the animals represented emotional stability, companionship, and one of the few remaining constants in his life.

Communication Limitations

Phone minutes expired. Calls were missed. Messages went unanswered. At times, the patient had no reliable communication method at all.

Mental Health and Substance Use

The patient struggled with depression and alcohol use disorder. Importantly, these conditions did not exist separately from the rest of his barriers. They interacted with every aspect of his ability to function.

The Turning Point

Over time, the care approach subtly shifted. The goal became less about demanding compliance and more about helping the patient survive long enough to stabilize. The nephrology nursing team continued practical interventions:

- Weekly follow-up calls.
- Food coordination.
- Transportation assistance.
- Disability navigation.
- Appointment reminders.
- Ongoing encouragement.

The team also became more realistic. Some resources were not actually accessible for him. Some county programs had restrictions. Some shelters would not accommodate pets. Some services required Internet access or transportation he did not have.

The problem was not always patient refusal. Sometimes the system simply did not fit the patient's reality. This distinction changed everything.

Outcomes

The patient ultimately received disability income. That moment changed the trajectory of care.

The Immeasurable Cost of the Wrong Words: When ‘Noncompliance’ Hid the Real Story

Shortly afterward, he secured affordable housing where he could keep his pets. For the first time in a long time, the patient described relief that he could:

- Afford food.
- Maintain housing.
- Begin functioning beyond day-to-day survival.

His medical complexity did not disappear. He still required ongoing care, chronic disease management, and support. But the environment surrounding the care plan finally became more stable.

Then something happened that reframed the entire story. The patient returned to the office with gas cards. He wanted the clinic to use them for other patients in need. The same individual who had once been repeatedly described through the lens of noncompliance and frustration now demonstrated gratitude, generosity, and community-mindedness.

That moment mattered because it forced the team to confront an uncomfortable truth:

- He was never simply noncompliant.
- He was overwhelmed by barriers.

Once enough of those barriers were addressed, the person beneath the label became visible.

Discussion

This case highlights the enormous influence clinical language has on care delivery. Documentation is cumulative. Labels follow patients. And once bias enters the medical record, it often spreads quietly across the health care system. A single word can shape:

- Clinician expectations.
- Resource allocation.
- Interdisciplinary attitudes.
- Even willingness to continue helping.

The phrase *noncompliant with medications* may unintentionally communicate that the patient simply does not care. But a statement such as: “Patient unable to consistently take medications due to unstable housing, limited food access, transportation barriers, and inability to safely store insulin” creates an entirely different clinical response.

The distinction is not semantic. It is operational.

This case also validates something important for health care workers:

- Compassion fatigue is real.
- Boundary setting is real.
- Staff frustration is real.

Barrier-focused documentation does not require nurses to ignore difficult behaviors or endlessly provide resources without limits. It simply asks clinicians to separate the patient’s humanity from the team’s exhaustion. While still documenting context with neutrality and dignity, nurses can acknowledge:

- Intoxication.
- Missed appointments.
- Verbal escalation.

- Repeated requests.
- Refusal of recommendations.

That balance is where meaningful nursing practice lives.

Implications for Nephrology Nursing Practice

Nephrology nurses are uniquely positioned to identify barriers before they become medical crises. Often, nurses are the first to recognize:

- Food insecurity.
- Transportation instability.
- Medication storage limitations.
- Lack of health literacy.
- Inability to afford supplies.
- Competing survival priorities.

Changing documentation language is one of the simplest and most impactful interventions available.

- Instead of: “Noncompliant with medications;” consider: “Patient reports inability to consistently take medications due to food insecurity and unstable housing.”
- Instead of: “Refuses shelter placement;” consider: “Patient declined available shelter because pets are not permitted and identifies animals as primary emotional support.”

These changes preserve clinical accuracy while promoting deeper understanding.

Health care systems can support this work by:

- Embedding SDOH prompts into documentation workflows.
- Educating staff about stigmatizing language.
- Encouraging neutral and contextual charting.
- Normalizing barrier-focused interdisciplinary communication.

Most importantly, nurses should remember that persistence matters. This patient did not stabilize after one referral. He required repeated outreach, repeated problem-solving, and repeated willingness from the care team to look beyond the label.

Conclusion

The phrase *noncompliant* can end clinical curiosity too early. In medically and socially complex kidney care patients, missed appointments, medication gaps, continued substance use, and declined resources often represent barriers that have not yet been fully identified or addressed.

This case demonstrates that changing language can change care trajectories. When the interdisciplinary team continued meeting the patient where he was rather than where they believed he should be, trust was preserved long enough for meaningful stability to emerge. Eventually, the patient obtained housing, income, food stability, and renewed engagement with care. Most importantly, the team saw the person behind the label. Reframing noncompliance as unidentified barriers is not

about lowering expectations. It is about creating care plans patients can realistically follow. And sometimes, the first step toward changing an outcome is changing the words.

The patient was never simply noncompliant. He was hungry. He was unstable. He was overwhelmed. And like many medically complex patients, he was carrying barriers that could not be solved with another lecture about compliance. In the end, the intervention that changed the trajectory of care was not a medication adjustment, a referral, or another warning about compliance. It was the decision to keep looking beyond the label.

When the language changed, the care changed. And when the care changed, the outcome changed. That is why language is not merely documentation. Language is a clinical intervention in nursing practice.

References

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). *Social determinants of health and kidney disease*. Kidney Disease Surveillance System. <https://wwwn.cdc.gov/KDSS/TopicHome/SocialDeterminantsOfHealth.aspx?topic=24>

Goddu, A.P., O’Conor, K.J., Lanzkron, S., Saheed, M.O., Saha, S., Peek, M.E., Haywood, C., & Beach, M.C. (2018). Do words matter? Stigmatizing language and the transmission of bias in the medical record. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 33(5), 685-691. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-017-4289-2>

Healy, M., Richard, A., & Kidia, K. (2022). How to reduce stigma and bias in clinical communication: A narrative review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 37(10), 2533-2540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-022-07609-y>

Park, J., Saha, S., Chee, B., Taylor, J., & Beach, M.C. (2021). Physician use of stigmatizing language in patient medical records. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(7), e2117052. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.17052>

Instructions for ANCC Contact Hours/CEPTC

- 1) Read the article and complete the evaluation through the ANNA Online Library @ annanurse.org/library
 - 2) Visit “My Account” to view/print certificate.
 - 3) Deadline for submission 6/30/2028.
- Fees: Member: \$15.00 | Non-Member: \$30.00 NNJ 2614